Localized U.S. Bandwidth Demand Forecast, 2011-2016

Market Study 1Q 2012





Localized U.S. Bandwidth Demand Forecast, 2011-2016

Market Study

Published 1Q 2012 Version 1.0 Report Number: 01Q2012-04

*iG*R Inc. 12400 W. Hwy 71 Suite 350 PMB 341 Austin TX 78738

Table of Contents

Abstract	1
Executive Summary	3
Figure A: Mobile Bandwidth Demand by Time of Day, 2011-2016 (GB/day/KM² for core 'work area')	4
Methodology	6
iGR End User Quantitative and Qualitative Studies	
How Much Bandwidth will be consumed?	8
U.S. Mobile Connections	
Table 1: U.S. Connections and GB/month/POP, 2010-2016 (Thousands)	
U.S. mobile bandwidth consumed	
Figure 1: Increasing Consumption of Mobile Bandwidth (GB/month/POP)	9
Who's Using the Bandwidth?	10
Mobile user profiles	
Mobile bandwidth by user profile	
Table 2: Bandwidth per Month per Connection, 2011	
Mobile bandwidth by service type	12
Table 3: North America Bandwidth Demand by Service Type, 2011	12
Total bandwidth by user category	
Table 4: U.S. Bandwidth Demand by User Category, 2011-2016 (MB/month)	
Table 5: Total U.S. Bandwidth Demand by User Category, 2011-2016 (MB/month)	
Figure 2: Shifting Bandwidth Consumption by User Categories, 2011-2016	
Figure 3: U.S. Mobile Bandwidth Demand, 2011-2016	15
When Is Bandwidth Used?	16
Distribution of mobile data use	16
Table 6: Distribution of Mobile Data Usage (100 percent per day)	
Figure 4: Change in Percent Usage per Hour, 2011 vs. 2016	
Growth in bandwidth consumed by hour	
Table 7: Growth in Bandwidth Consumed by Hour per Day, 2011-2016 (GB/Hour/POP)	
Figure 5: Mobile Bandwidth Use by Time of Day (GB/Hour/POP)	
When Bandwidth Demand Exceeds Average Network Capability	
Table 8: Percent of Data Demand in Excess of Network's Average Ability to Deliver	
Figure 6: Percent BW Demand Above Network's Average Capability, 2011-2016	
Where Pain Points Might Occur	
Table 9: Mobile Data per Day (GB/day/KM²)	
Example cities	
Table 10: Comparison of BW Usage by U.S. Cities	
Excess bandwidth demand per KM ²	
demand)demand on a KM Basis (GB/Hour/KM over average bandw	
uemanu)	20

Figure 7: Excess Bandwidth Demand on a KM ² Basis (GB/Hour/KM ² over average b	andwidth
demand)	27
Factoring in population movement	28
A Theoretical City	28
Figure 8: Theoretical U.S. City	29
Figure 9: Theoretical City with Cell Sites	30
Figure 10: Theoretical City with Smaller Macro Cells	31
Figure 11: Commute Routes in a Theoretical City	32
Figure 12: Theoretical Bandwidth Pain Points in City X	33
Figure 13: Excess Bandwidth Demand on a KM ² Basis (GB/Hour/KM ² over average	
bandwidth demand)	34
Figure 14: Excess Bandwidth Demand in 20 KM ² Business or Downtown Area (GB/	Hour/KM ²
over average bandwidth demand)	35
Figure 15: Differences in Peak Demand in 20 KM ² Business or Downtown Area, 20	11-2016
(GB/Hour/KM ² over average bandwidth demand)	36
Emerging Ways of Handling Usage Spikes	27
Small Cells	
Where is it appropriate to deploy a small cell?	
Figure 16: Three Ways of Handling Cellular Networks	
Definitions	
General	
Device Types	
Services	42
Network Technology	42
About <i>iG</i> R	45
Disclaimer	

Abstract

It is no secret that mobile/cellular data usage will greatly increase over the next few years, driven by ongoing LTE rollouts and the increasing adoption of smartphones, tablets and other data devices. To address this increase in bandwidth capacity, the industry is rapidly moving toward small cell architectures. But a number of major questions remain unanswered:

- When during the day will be the peak bandwidth demand?
- Where will small cells be needed, given that people move during the typical day?
- How much bandwidth must these small cells deliver?

This study answers these questions in detail and presents a model of a typical U.S. city.

With mobile device ownership and mobile data consumption on the rise, demand on the wireless networks in all areas, but especially in densely packed geographic areas, is starting to create issues for wireless operators. In fact, *iG*R's model indicates that in 2011 in a typical U.S. city, there is approximately 4.52 GB/day/KM² in mobile bandwidth demand that the cellular data network cannot meet. Furthermore, come 2016, our model suggests that this un-met demand will rise to 72 GB/day/KM². Note that our model assumes the availability of LTE to U.S. operators.

Such circumstances are likely to create new challenges for operators. LTE and/ or LTE Advanced combined with changes in antenna and network design, may be able to handle the average level of user traffic, but *iGR*'s research suggests a use case for a different approach to network architecture. In short, the heterogeneous network (or het-net). In this report, *iGR* thus further describes the impact of growing data demand on dense cellular network areas and possible opportunities to address that demand with het-nets.

Key Questions Addressed:

- How much cellular data will be consumed in the U.S. through 2016?
- How is cellular data consumed in the U.S., on average?
- How do U.S. users differ in their bandwidth consumption?
- How U.S. users compare in bandwidth demand across various major cities?
- How much of that bandwidth used during given time periods is in excess of what a carrier's macro cellular data network is able to deliver (on average)?

- How much bandwidth might an operator have to deliver per kilometer squared (KM²) per day to fulfill the bandwidth demand that their macro network cannot deliver?
- What are small cells? When is it useful to deploy them?
- What is a Heterogeneous Network (het-net)?

This report is recommended for:

- Cellular carriers, particularly those servicing the U.S. market
- Mobile OEMs, particularly those servicing the U.S. market
- Wireless infrastructure vendors, particularly those servicing the U.S. market
- Government utility organizations (Federal, State and Local)
- Urban planners
- Financial and investment analysts.